

## **SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS**



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)	
RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	
AIRS ID#: 0112318 DATE: <u>07/19/2006</u>	ARRIVE: <u>9:45 AM</u> DEPART: <u>10:45 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: MARINE MAX MOTOR YACHTS		
FACILITY LOCATION: 490 TAYLOR LANE		
DANIA 33004		
RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: SUSAN KINGSTON	<b>PHONE:</b> (954)926-0308	
CONTACT NAME: Dan Kingston	PHONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR: ENTITLE	EMENT PERIOD: / (offective data) (and data)	
	(effective date) (end date)	
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box)		
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE		
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.		
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units		
which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.)		
have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) Yes No  2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings		
and the quantity of the coatings used? No		
3. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years? \overline{\text{Yes}} \overline{\text{No}} No		
4. Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly?		
5. Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents for cleanups?		
101 Cicanups:		
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)		
	e discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to	
an objectionable odor? (Rule 62 296 320(2) F.A.C.)		

PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
cycles? 2) recycling cleaning solvents?	f reducing VOC emissions by:  ffective application with a minimum of overspray?  ve coating?  vaterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?  ent spillage?
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURE A. New or Modified Process Equipment	<u>PS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.
1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?  b) alterations to existing process equipment withou  c) replacement of existing equipment substantially  recent notification form?  d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the  notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4  local program office?	out replacement?
Elizabeth F. Susky	07/19/2006
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
	07/19/2007
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection
Associated Marine). Mr. Dan Kingston (general manger) accordance has a paved yard (where bottom jobs are conducted), one spray painted, paint storage area, waste oil storage area, and offices.  Mr. Kinston stated that they had recently had their recyclable storage.	system (for boat pull-out) pumped out by Cliff Berry (this is an llent and one bottom job observed was well contained and tarped. The
The spray booth was observed to be in good condition and Mr.	c. Kingston stated that the filters are changed once a month.

Susan Kingston usually compiles the VOC reports and she was out of town during the inspection. Mr. Kingston assured AQD staff that he would relay to Mrs. Kingston that the inspection had taken place and VOC reports needed to be submitted. Facility recently applied for its entitlement and has renewed its GP for surface coating.